



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,289	07/08/2003	Toshikazu Ishizaki	007075.115828	7458
29540	7590	05/12/2008	EXAMINER	
DAY PITNEY LLP			DAVIS, ZACHARY A	
7 TIMES SQUARE				
NEW YORK, NY 10036-7311			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/614,289	ISHIZAKI, TOSHIKAZU
	Examiner Zachary A. Davis	Art Unit 2137

All Participants:

(1) Zachary A. Davis.

Status of Application: non-final rejection mailed

(3) _____.

(2) Ronald Brown (applicant's representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 May 2008

Time: 4:30pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner requested confirmation as to whether a reply had been filed in response to the non-final Office action mailed 01 November 2007. Mr. Brown confirmed that no reply had been filed and the application had been abandoned.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Emmanuel L. Moise/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2137

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)